4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/657,166	SAKAI, KEITA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tim Heitbrink	1722
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Re of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communicated GHTS. This application is subjection in the control of the communication in the control of t	e correspondence address application. If not included tion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <i>interview of 2-22-06</i> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-5</u> .		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a)	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in the suments have been received in the sum of this application to file a region of this application.	nis national stage application from the oly complying with the requirements ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) 🔲 including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.4)	84(c)) should be written on the dra	wings in the front (not the back) of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in th		• •
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 	OR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOG	L must be submitted. Note the SICAL MATERIAL.
 Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 9-9-03 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ⊠ Interview Summa Paper No./Mail I 3), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amer	Date <u>2-22-06</u> .

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of growing a crystal via cooling, classified in class 117, subclass 3.
- II. Claims 6-8, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 117, subclass 200.
- III. Claims 9-13, drawn to a product, classified in class 420, subclass 578.
- IV. Claims 14 and 15, drawn to a method of improving shear stress, classified in class 117, subclass 19.
- V. Claims 16-19, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 359, subclass 642.
- VI. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to a method, classified in class 430, subclass 4.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to form a crystal with no controlled cooling step.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,166 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by the materially different process of using a natural crystal of CaF2 and shaping it.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects as to the resultant shear stress.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not capable of use together in that the apparatus of Group V can not be used to perform the method of Group I.

Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects in that crystal growth and optical projection (i.e. photolithography) have different modes of operation.

Art Unit: 1722

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case by the materially different process of using a natural crystal of CaF2 and shaping it.

Inventions IV and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to form a crystal with no additional material.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different functions of crystal growth and optical projection.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have the different functions of a crystal growth apparatus and a method of device manufacturing involving light projection.

Inventions IV and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by the materially different process of using a natural crystal of CaF2 and shaping it.

Inventions III and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in the materially different process of using the product as a wear surface.

Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have the different functions of a crystal and a method of device manufacturing involving optical projection.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not capable of use together in that the apparatus of Group V can not be used to perform the method of Group IV.

Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects in that crystal growth and optical projection (i.e. photolithography) have different modes of operation.

Inventions V and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used in the materially different process of photograph imaging.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Lawrence Stahl on February 22, 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1722

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Lawrence Stahl on February 22, 2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

In The Claims

Claim 1,

Line 6, before "in" --of the calcium fluoride single crystal-- has been inserted,

Line 7, "of" (first occurrence) has been deleted.

Claim 2,

line 8, before "in" --of the calcium fluoride single crystal-- has been inserted and "of" has been deleted.

Claim 3,

Line 6, before "critical" --a-- has been inserted and before "or" --of the calcium fluoride single crystal-- has been inserted,

Line 7, "of" has been deleted.

Art Unit: 1722

Page 8

Claim 4,

Line 7, before "or" --of the calcium fluoride single crystal-- has been inserted,

Line 8, "of" has been deleted.

Claim 5,

Line 2, after "in" -- one of--has been inserted.

Non-elected claims 6-21 have been canceled.

In The Title

The title has been changed to --METHOD OF MANUFACTURING SINGLE CRYSTAL CALCIUM FLUORIDE--.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claims 1-5 define over the prior art since the prior art fails to disclose or suggest the claimed direction and plane found in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Art Unit: 1722

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Vin Nextrul Tim Heitbrink **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1722

7-22-06

twh